

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 11/27/2000 Dieter Pauschinger P00,1848 5658 09/723,029 **EXAMINER** 26574 7590 12/04/2003 BACKER, FIRMIN **SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE** 6600 SEARS TOWER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 233 S WACKER DR CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473 3621

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner						
Office Action Summary    Examiner   Firmin Backer   Sig21   Firmin Backer   Sig21			Application No.	licant(s)	1	
Firmin Backer   3621			09/723,029	PAUSCHINGER ET AL.		
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CERT 1.38(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CERT 1.38(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CERT 1.78(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled  If the parted for may is specified above is less time thirty (30) (says, a reply within the station of the provision of the parted for may are the entiring date of the communication.  Final action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 isfare pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 isfare rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 isfare rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 isfare objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The proposed drawing correction filled on 1-10 is an approved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected the awings are required in reply to this Office action.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 1-10 is an approved by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some ° c) None of:  14) Acknowledgment is made o			Examiner	Art Unit		
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), and available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), and available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a) and available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a).  If his particle or early is specified above, the maximum statutery profit will apply and will expire SIX (b) MOITTRS from the mailing date of this communication. Provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a) and available under the mailing calls of this communication.  Page 12 CPR 1.136(a) and 32 CPR 1.734(b).  Status  1) M Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 October 2003.  2a) M This action is FINAL.  2b) M This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parto Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Cialms  4) Claim(s)		TI MAN INO DATE CHI				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR. 1 35(g). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MCNTRS from the mailing date of this communication.  It NO percold to reply a separation between the mailing date of this communication.  It NO percold to reply a separation between the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by attautory, prefate along pay and will examp its (8) (MCNTRS the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by attautor, period will be pay and will examp its (8) (MCNTRS the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set of cretored period for reply will. by attautor, access the application to become ARANDONED (3s U.S.C. § 133).  Any pay precided by the official set but there mornish earth en an interfer shalling date of this communication. even if timely filed, may reduce any set of CRA. Any pay and set along the set of this communication.  Application is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 is/are rejected to.  8) To claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 is/are rejected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 is/are elected.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  11) The proposed drawings correction filed on is/are: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  12) The eath or declaration is o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c  None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>					
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  **Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  4   Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2   Notice of References Cited (PTO-82)  5	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 C	October 2003 .			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims  4)						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) 11 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 is/are pending in the ap	plication.			
6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in This National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 11 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 22 Ontotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  10 All All	5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a   accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a   approved b   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a   All b   Some * c   None of:  1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  4   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15   Aktachment(s)  16   Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-22</u> is/are rejected.				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some coll None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  4. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) The eath or deployation is chicated to but the Coursings					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_	_		, , , , ,		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal F		-•	

Art Unit: 3621

## Response to Amendment

This is in response to an amendment file on October 16<sup>th</sup>, 2003 for letter for patent filed on November 27<sup>th</sup>, 2000. In the amendment, claims 1 and 7 have been amended. Claims 1-4, 6-22 are pending in the letter.

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-4, 6-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Specification

2. The amendment filed October 16<sup>th</sup>, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "non monetary."

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3621

- 4. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 1 and 7 recite the limitation "non-monetary". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4 and 6-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abumehdi et al '464 in view of Walmsley et al '354.

Abumehdi et al teach a franking meter system such that Applicants' step of storing a plurality of reference code words at a data center reads on the IDN located at the resetting terminal, Applicants' step of generating a code word reads on the meter license number (assigned to each meter), Applicants' step of allocating said meter license number (generated code word) to a specific postage meter postage (consumable) and aggregating said postage (specific consumable) with said meter license number (generated code word) reads on allocating the meter license number to the replacement/replenishment of postage, Applicants' device

Art Unit: 3621

located remote from a resetting terminal (data center) reads on the franking meter, element 10, Applicants' step of detecting an operation to replace postage (a consumable) in said device with replacement postage (consumable) corresponding to said specific meter postage reads on the user of the franking meter requested more postage from the resetting terminal (data center), Applicants' detection of said operation and establishing a communication link between said device and said data center and communicating said meter license number (code word) to said data center from said device via a link reads on elements 11 and 12, and step 60 of figure 3A, Applicants' step of checking authenticity of said replacement consumable, at said resetting terminal (data center), by determining whether said meter license number (code word) and transmitted via said link, has said predetermined relationship with said at least one IDN (reference code word) stored at said resetting terminal (data center), and Applicants' step of informing said device whether said replacement consumable is authorized, via said link reads on step 85 of figure 3B. Abumehdi et al fail to teach an inventive concept of aggregating and authentic replacement consumable, corresponding to the specific consumable with the generated code word during manufacturing or the replacement consumable at a manufacturer generating identification number for the replacement consumable conforming to the generated code word. However, Walmsley et al teach inventive concept of aggregating and authentic replacement consumable, corresponding to the specific consumable with the generated code word during manufacturing or the replacement consumable at a manufacturer generating identification number for the replacement consumable conforming to the generated code word (abstract, column 52 lines 56-53 lines 35). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Abumehdi et al's inventive concept to

Art Unit: 3621

include Walmsley et al's inventive concept of aggregating and authentic replacement consumable, corresponding to the specific consumable with the generated code word during manufacturing or the replacement consumable at a manufacturer generating identification number for the replacement consumable conforming to the generated code word because this would have ensure that consumables replacement reliable on physical patents on packaging in order to stop inferior refill operations or clone manufacture in countries with weak industrial property protection and consequently provide a much higher level of protection.

Regarding claims 2 and 3:

Applicants' carrier reads on the inherent procedure of placing the postage meter license number onto the postage as a means for the postal service to identify the meter generating the postage.

Regarding claim 4:

Applicants' step of selecting a technique reads on the actual meter license number being printed (physical nature) with the amount of postage.

Regarding claims 6-22.

They disclose the same inventive concept as claims 1-4. Therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Furthermore, Applicant et al have replaced the term consumable with non-monetary authentic consumable and argue that the replacement preclude the reliance on the teaching of the prior art of Abumehdi et al. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant argument. Although Applicant amends the claims with such replacement, the disclosure fail to provide detail

Art Unit: 3621

description of the inventive concept of non-monetary authentic consumable. Since there is no offering of detail description of the inventive concept of non-monetary authentic consumable, the reliance on the on the teaching of the prior art of Abumehdi et al cannot be precluded.

Furthermore the teaching of postage can be considered to be non-monetary authentic consumable. Applicant further argues that The prior art (Walmsley et al) does not specifically deal with consumable but with product in general. Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant since consumable and product are the same concept.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3621

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Firmin Backer Examiner

Art Unit 3621

December 2, 2003